Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Dimethylphenylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate and methyldiphenylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate

Kerstin Schulze-Matthäi et al.

Electronic paper

This paper is published electronically. It meets the data-validation criteria for publication in Acta Crystallographica Section C. The submission has been checked by a Section C Co-editor though the text in the 'Comments' section is the responsibility of the authors.

© 2000 International Union of Crystallography • Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

Acta Crystallographica Section C **Crystal Structure** Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Dimethylphenylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate and methyldiphenylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate

Kerstin Schulze-Matthäi, Jürgen Bendig, Petra Neubauer and Burkhard Ziemer*

Institut für Chemie, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Hessische Strasse 1-2, 10115 Berlin, Germany Correspondence e-mail: burkhard=ziemer@chemie.hu-berlin.de

Received 13 April 2000 Accepted 20 April 2000

Data validation number: IUC0000120

The bonding geometry of sulfur in the cations of the title compounds, $C_8H_{11}S^+ \cdot CF_3SO_3^-$ and $C_{13}H_{13}S^+ \cdot CF_3SO_3^-$, respectively, is similar and is independent of the ratio of the Me/Ph substituents. As expected, in both cations, the S-Ph bonds are somewhat shorter than the S-Me bonds. In both crystal structures, the interaction between cations and anions is similar.

Comment

Sulfonium salts are known as photo acid generators (PAG). Their DUV-irradiation leads to decomposition and the formation of acid (Dektar & Hacker, 1990). Therefore, sulfonium salts have found a wide range of uses in UV-curing technologies, microlithography, holography and other photooptical applications (Ito, 1999). The bonding geometry of sulfur in the cations of the title compounds, (I) and (II), is similar and is independent of the ratio of the Me/Ph substituents. As expected, in both cations, the S-Ph bonds [1.783 (2)-1.784 (2) Å] are somewhat shorter than the S–Me bonds [1.786 (2)–1.792 (2) Å]. In both crystal structures, the interaction between cations and anions is similar; the SO₃ side of the anions form contacts preferentially with the methyl groups of the cations and correspondingly the CF₃ side forms contacts with the phenyl groups.



Experimental

Preparation of dimethylphenylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate, (I): in accordance with Saeva & Morgan (1984), phenyl methyl sulfide (6.2 g, 50 mmol) was dissolved in methylene chloride (40 ml). Methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (10.0 g, 60.0 mmol) was added dropwise at 273 K. The mixture was refluxed for 8 h, cooled for 8 h and poured into diethyl ether (150 ml). The product crystallized and was collected by filtration and air dried. Recrystallization from isopropyl alcohol gave 12.7 g (88% yield) of the pure product; m.p. 382 K; ¹H NMR (methanol- d_4): δ 3.31 (s, 6H), 7.70–8.15 (m, 5H); elemental analysis for C₉H₁₁F₃O₃S₂, calculated/found: C 37.48/37.48, H 3.99/3.89 and S 22.45/22.26%. Preparation of methyldiphenylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate, (II): to a solution of diphenyl sulfide (9.3 g, 50 mmol) in methylene chloride (40 ml), methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (10.0 g, 60 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 8 h and stirred for a further 8 h at room temperature. After crystallization from isopropyl alcohol, 15.5 g (88% yield) of the desired product were obtained; m.p. 370 K; ¹H NMR (methanol- d_4): δ 3.80 (s, 3H), 7.70–8.15 (*m*, 10H); elemental analysis for $C_{14}H_{13}F_3O_3S_2$, calculated/found: C 47.98/47.99, H 3.74/3.84 and S 18.31/18.59%.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

	_
$C_8H_{11}S^+ \cdot CF_3SO_3^-$	$D_x = 1.557 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 288.30$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 2000
a = 13.659 (2) Å	reflections
b = 10.045 (2) Å	$\theta = 2.5 - 25.0^{\circ}$
c = 9.4366 (16) Å	$\mu = 0.464 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 108.205 \ (18)^{\circ}$	T = 180 (2) K
V = 1229.9 (4) Å ³	Block, colorless
Z = 4	$0.84 \times 0.60 \times 0.40 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Stoe IPDS diffractometer 2221 independent reflections φ -rotation, φ -incr. = 1.6°, 138 expo-1975 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ sure scans $R_{\rm int} = 0.072$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^{\circ}$ $h = -16 \rightarrow 16$ Absorption correction: refdelf (ABSCOR: Stoe & Cie, 1997) $T_{\min} = 0.697, T_{\max} = 0.836$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ 7424 measured reflections $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$ $wR(F^2) = 0.125$ S = 1.0562221 reflections 156 parameters H-atom parameters not refined

Compound (II)

Crystal data $C_{13}H_{13}S^+ \cdot CF_3SO_3^ M_r = 350.36$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ $a = 12.5122 (13) \text{ \AA}$ b = 9.0284 (6) Å c = 15.3932 (14) Å $\beta = 113.552 \ (8)^{\circ}$ $V = 1594.0 (2) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0703P)^2]$ + 0.7631P] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.007$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.89 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.44 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $D_x = 1.460 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 116 reflections $\theta = 14.1 - 15.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.373 \ {\rm mm^{-1}}$ T = 180 (2) KBlock, colorless $0.98 \times 0.76 \times 0.50 \mbox{ mm}$

electronic papers

Data collection

Stoe Stadi-4 diffractometer $2\theta/\omega$ scans, ratio = 1.0, width (ω) =	$R_{\rm int} = 0.024$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.03^{\circ}$
1.55-1.50°	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$
(North <i>et al.</i> 1968)	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{min} = 0.712, T_{max} = 0.836$	$r = -10 \rightarrow 10$ 3 standard reflections
5742 measured reflections	frequency: 120 min
3480 independent reflections	intensity decay: 2.4%
3137 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$
$wR(F^2) = 0.082$
S = 1.066
3480 reflections
252 parameters
All H-atom parameters refined

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0376P)^2]$
+ 0.6612P]
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.007$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.37 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{\AA}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
Extinction coefficient: 0.0108 (12)

For (I), a ΔF^2 -based absorption correction was carried out. The calculation was performed with *ABSCOR* (Stoe & Cie, 1997), a modification of *DIFABS* (Walker & Stuart, 1983). In contrast to *DIFABS, ABSCOR* works with F^2 values instead of *F*. For (II), a ψ -

scan-related absorption correction was carried out (North et al., 1968).

For compound (II), data collection: *IPDS*-2.87 (Stoe & Cie, 1997); cell refinement: *IPDS*-2.87; data reduction: *IPDS*-2.87. For compound (II), data collection: *STADI*4-1.06 (Stoe & Cie, 1997); cell refinement: *STADI*4-1.06; data reduction: *XRED*-1.07 (Stoe & Cie, 1997). For both compounds, program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XSTEP*-2.18 (Stoe & Cie, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

References

Dektar, J. L. & Hacker, N. P. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 6004–6015. Ito, H. (1999). SPIE 3678, 2–12.

North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.

Saeva, F. D. & Morgan, B. P. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 4121-4125.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Stoe & Cie (1997). *IPDS*-2.87, *STADI*4-1.06, *XRED*-1.07, *ABSCOR* and *XSTEP*-2.18. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.